arXiv:1505.00232v2 [math.NA] 17 Oct 2015

On the Approximate Weak Chebyshev Greedy Algorithm in Uniformly Smooth Banach Spaces

A. V. Dereventsov

Abstract

We study greedy approximation in uniformly smooth Banach spaces. The Weak Chebyshev Greedy Algorithm (WCGA), introduced and studied in [6], is defined for any Banach space X and a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$, and provides nonlinear n-term approximation with respect to $\mathcal{D}$. In this paper we study the Approximate Weak Chebyshev Greedy Algorithm (AWCGA) – a modification of the WCGA that was studied in [7]. In the AWCGA we are allowed to calculate n-term approximation with a perturbation in computing the norming functional and a relative error in calculating the approximant. Such permission is natural for the numerical applications and simplifies realization of the algorithm. We obtain conditions that are necessary and sufficient for the convergence of the AWCGA for any element of X. In particular, we show that if perturbations and errors are from ℓ_1 space then the conditions for the convergence of the AWCGA are the same as for the WCGA. For specifically chosen perturbations and errors we estimate the rate of convergence for any element f from the closure of the convex hull of $\mathcal{D}$ and demonstrate that in special cases the AWCGA performs as well as the WCGA.

Keywords: Banach space; nonlinear approximation; greedy algorithm; Weak Chebyshev Greedy Algorithm; Approximate Weak Chebyshev Greedy Algorithm.

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the problem of greedy approximation in Banach spaces. This problem was extensively researched by V. N. Temlyakov (see, for instance, [6], [7], [8]). For the Weak Chebyshev Greedy Algorithm (WCGA) in uniformly smooth Banach spaces, the sufficient conditions for convergence and the rate of approximation were obtained in [6]. In this article we study the Approximate Weak Chebyshev Greedy Algorithm – the modification of the WCGA with perturbations in computing the norming functionals and relative errors in calculating the approximants. This algorithm was analyzed in [7] and the sufficient conditions for convergence and an estimate for the rate of convergence in a special case were obtained. In this paper we apply the technique used in [7]. We prove weaker sufficient conditions for convergence, show that they are sharp, obtain an estimate for the rate of approximation, and compare them with known results.

This paper is devoted to the Banach space setting. Let X be a real Banach space. A dictionary is a set $\mathcal{D}$ of elements from X if $\|g\| = 1$ for each element $g \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{span} \mathcal{D}} = X$. For convenience of notations we consider symmetric dictionaries, i.e. such dictionaries that $g \in \mathcal{D}$ implies $-g \in \mathcal{D}$. By $A_0(\mathcal{D})$ we denote all the linear combinations of the elements of a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$, and by $A_1(\mathcal{D})$ we denote the closure of the convex hull of a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$.

For any non-zero element $f \in X$ let F_f denote a norming functional of f, i.e. such a functional that $\|F_f\| = 1$ and $\|F_f(f)\| = \|f\|$. The existence of such a functional is guaranteed by the Hahn-Banach theorem.

A weakness sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real numbers t_n such that $0 \le t_n \le 1$ for any $n \ge 1$. A perturbation sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real numbers δ_n such that $0 \le \delta_n \le 1$ for any $n \ge 0$. An error sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real numbers η_n such that $\eta_n \ge 0$ for any $n \ge 1$. By η_0 we denote the least upper bound of $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

For a Banach space X, a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$, and an element $f \in X$, the Approximate Weak Chebyshev Greedy Algorithm (AWCGA) with a weakness sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, a perturbation sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and an error sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is defined as follows.

Definition (AWCGA)**.** Set $f_0 = f$ and for $n \ge 1$

1. take any functional F_{n-1} satisfying
$$\|F_{n-1}\| \le 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{n-1}(f_{n-1}) \ge (1 - \delta_{n-1}) \|f_{n-1}\|; \tag{1}$$
and find any $\phi_n \in \mathcal{D}$ such that
$$F_{n-1}(\phi_n) \ge t_n \sup_{g \in \mathcal{D}} F_{n-1}(g); \tag{2}$$
2. for $\Phi_n = \operatorname{span}\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{n}$ denote $E_n = \inf\limits_{G \in \Phi_n} \|f - G\|$ and find any $G_n \in \Phi_n$ satisfying
$$\|f - G_n\| \le (1 + \eta_n) E_n; \tag{3}$$
3. set $f_n = f - G_n$.

Note that if the supremum is not attained, one can select $t_n < 1$ and proceed with the algorithm. In particular, if $t_n < 1$ for any n then the AWCGA can be realized for all Banach spaces and all dictionaries. Moreover, there might be several elements satisfying conditions (1)–(3), so the algorithm does not guarantee uniqueness of realization. We say that the AWCGA converges if $\|f_n\| \to 0$ for any realization of the algorithm. Conversely, the AWCGA diverges if there exists such a realization that $\|f_n\| \not\to 0$.

Recall that a Banach space is smooth if for any non-zero f the norming functional F_f is unique. For a Banach space X the modulus of smoothness $\rho(u)$ is defined by
$$\rho(u) = \sup_{\|x\| = \|y\| = 1} \frac{\|x + uy\| + \|x - uy\|}{2} - 1. \tag{4}$$

A Banach space is uniformly smooth if $\rho(u) = o(u)$ as $u \to 0$. We say that the modulus of smoothness $\rho(u)$ is of power type $1 \leq q \leq 2$ if $\rho(u) \leq \gamma u^q$ for some $\gamma > 0$. It follows from definition (4) that every Banach space has a modulus of smoothness of power type 1 and that every Hilbert space has a modulus of smoothness of power type 2. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_q$ the class of all Banach spaces with the modulus of smoothness of nontrivial power type $1 < q \leq 2$. In particular, it is known (see Lemma B.1 from [3]) that the modulus of smoothness $\rho_p(u)$ of L_p space satisfies

$$\rho_p(u) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}\, u^p & 1 < p \leq 2 \\ \frac{p-1}{2}\, u^2 & 2 \leq p < \infty \end{cases},$$

hence $L_p \in \mathcal{P}_q$, where $q = \min\{p; 2\}$.

We study approximation in uniformly smooth Banach spaces. The reason for such restriction is supported in [3], where it is shown that convergence of a nonlinear approximation strongly depends on the norm smoothness of the space, and that if a space is not smooth, the convergence of incremental greedy approximation cannot be guaranteed for all elements f from X (Theorem 3.1); however, if the space is uniformly smooth, the convergence is guaranteed for all elements f from X (Theorem 3.4). Additionally, it is shown in [4] that the smoothness of a space is required for the convergence of the WCGA. For completeness, we prove this result in Proposition 1 from section 2.

We note, however, that the uniform smoothness of a space is not a necessary condition for the convergence: it is shown in [2] that if X is a separable reflexive Banach space, then X admits an equivalent norm for which the WCGA converges for all dictionaries $\mathcal{D}$ and all elements $f \in X$ (see Theorem 2.6 from [2]). On the other hand, spaces which admit an equivalent uniformly smooth norm are precisely super-reflexive spaces (see e.g. [5]). Therefore, any separable reflexive Banach space X, which is not super-reflexive, admits an equivalent norm for which the WCGA converges for all dictionaries and elements; however, this norm would not be uniformly smooth. An example of a separable reflexive but not super-reflexive Banach space can be found in [1].

The goal of this paper is to establish sufficient and necessary conditions for convergence of the AWCGA for all uniformly smooth Banach spaces with the modulus of smoothness of a nontrivial power type, all dictionaries, and all elements of the space. We understand the necessity of a condition in the following sense: if the given condition does not hold, there exists a Banach space $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$, a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$, and an element $f \in X$ such that there is a realization of the AWCGA of f that does not converge to f.

We start with the known result, which states the sufficient condition for the convergence of the WCGA (see Corollary 2.1 from [6]).

Theorem A. *Let $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{D}$ be any dictionary. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a weakness sequence. Assume that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^p = \infty, \tag{5}$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$. Then the WCGA converges for any $f \in X$.

It is shown (see Proposition 2.1 from [6]) that condition (5) is sharp, i.e. Theorem A gives necessary and sufficient conditions of the convergence of the WCGA for all Banach spaces $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$, all dictionaries $\mathcal{D}$, and all elements $f \in X$.

The next result states the sufficient condition for the convergence of the AWCGA (see Corollary 2.2 from [7]).

Theorem B. *Let $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{D}$ be any dictionary. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a weakness sequence, $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a perturbation sequence, and $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded error sequence. Assume that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^p = \infty, \tag{6}$$

$$\delta_n = o(t_{n+1}^p), \tag{7}$$

$$\eta_n = o(t_n^p), \tag{8}$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$. Then the AWCGA converges for any $f \in X$.

This paper proposes that we weaken conditions (6)–(8) by imposing them only on subsequences. We show that in this case the specified conditions are necessary for the convergence of the AWCGA. The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a weakness sequence, $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a perturbation sequence, and $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded error sequence. Then the AWCGA converges for any Banach space $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$, any dictionary $\mathcal{D}$, and any element $f \in X$ if and only if there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{n_k+1}^p = \infty, \tag{9}$$

$$\delta_{n_k} = o(t_{n_k+1}^p), \tag{10}$$

$$\eta_{n_k} = o(t_{n_k+1}^p), \tag{11}$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$.

The particular advantage of this subsequence approach is that once a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying conditions (9)–(11) is found, only the choice of elements ϕ_{n_k} is essential for convergence, so arbitrary elements ϕ_j can be chosen on other steps.

In the special case $t_n = t > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, Theorem B provides that the condition $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} (\delta_n + \eta_n) = 0$ guarantees the convergence of the AWCGA. Theorem 1 shows that in this case the weaker condition $\liminf\limits_{n\to\infty} (\delta_n + \eta_n) = 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of the AWCGA, i.e. the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. *Let* $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *be a weakness sequence with* $\liminf_{n\to\infty} t_n > 0$, $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *be a perturbation sequence, and* $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *be a bounded error sequence. Then the AWCGA converges for any Banach space* $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$, *any dictionary* $\mathcal{D}$, *and any element* $f \in X$ *if and only if*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} (\delta_n + \eta_n) = 0.$$

Note that by using weaker restrictions on the modulus of smoothness and by applying the technique from Theorem 2.2 from [7], the previous theorem can be stated for any uniformly smooth Banach space.

Combining Theorem 1 with Lemma 2 proven in section 2, we obtain the following theorem, which states that necessary and sufficient conditions of the convergence of the AWCGA in case when perturbation and error sequences are from ℓ_1 space, are the same as for the WCGA.

Theorem 3. *Let* $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *be a weakness sequence,* $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_1$ *be a perturbation sequence, and* $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_1$ *be an error sequence. Then the AWCGA converges for any Banach space* $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$, *any dictionary* $\mathcal{D}$, *and any element* $f \in X$ *if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^p = \infty,$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$.

We note that with the minor changes in the proof of Theorem B, condition (8) can be replaced with $\eta_n = o(t_{n+1}^p)$. It is easy to see that this modified version follows from Theorem 1. In section 2 we show that Theorem 1 implies the unmodified version of Theorem B as well.

2 Convergence of the AWCGA

First, we justify the restrictions imposed on a Banach space X. The following proposition shows that if X is not smooth, then for some dictionary $\mathcal{D}$ and some function f, the WCGA of f does not converge even if f is a finite linear combination of the elements of the dictionary.

Proposition 1. *Let* X *be a nonsmooth Banach space. Then there exists a dictionary* $\mathcal{D}$ *and an element* $f \in A_0(\mathcal{D})$ *such that WCGA of* f *with any weakness sequence* $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *does not converge to* f.

Proof. Since X is not smooth, there exists an element f from the unit sphere of X with two norming functionals F and F' such that $F \not\equiv F'$. Then there exists an element $g \in X$ such that $F(g) \neq F'(g)$. Without loss of generality assume that $F(g) > F'(g)$. Denote

$$g_0 = \alpha_0 \left(g - \frac{F(g) + F'(g)}{2} f \right) \quad \text{and} \quad g_1 = \alpha_1 \left(g - F(g) f \right), \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha_0 = \left\| g - \frac{F(g)+F'(g)}{2} f \right\|^{-1}$ and $\alpha_1 = \|g - F(g)f\|^{-1}$. Note that $F(g_0) > 0$ and $F'(g_0) < 0$. Let $\{e_j\}_{j\in\Lambda}$ be a dictionary in X. Consider the set of indices

$$\Lambda' = \left\{ j \in \Lambda : e_j - \frac{F(e_j)}{F(g_0)} g_0 \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Define for any $j \in \Lambda'$

$$e'_j = \beta_j \left(e_j - \frac{F(e_j)}{F(g_0)} g_0 \right), \quad \text{where} \quad \beta_j = \left\| e_j - \frac{F(e_j)}{F(g_0)} g_0 \right\|^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

We claim that $\mathcal{D} = \{\pm g_0, \pm g_1\} \cup \{\pm e'_j\}_{j\in\Lambda'}$ is a dictionary as well. Indeed, take any $h \in X$ and pick any $\epsilon > 0$. Then, since $\{e_j\}_{j\in\Lambda}$ is a dictionary, there exist coefficients $\{a_j\}_{j\in\Lambda}$ such that $\left\| h - \sum_{j\in\Lambda} a_j e_j \right\| < \epsilon$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j\in\Lambda} a_j e_j &= \sum_{j\in\Lambda'} a_j \left(\beta_j^{-1} e'_j + \frac{F(e_j)}{F(g_0)} g_0 \right) + \sum_{j\in\Lambda\setminus\Lambda'} a_j \frac{F(e_j)}{F(g_0)} g_0 \\
&= \left(\sum_{j\in\Lambda} a_j \frac{F(e_j)}{F(g_0)} \right) g_0 + \sum_{j\in\Lambda'} \frac{a_j}{\beta_j} e'_j \\
&= \frac{F(h)}{F(g_0)} g_0 + \sum_{j\in\Lambda'} \frac{a_j}{\beta_j} e'_j,
\end{aligned}$$

then $h \in \overline{\operatorname{span} \mathcal{D}}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ is a dictionary. Note that $f \in \operatorname{span}\{g_0, g_1\}$, and thus $f \in A_0(\mathcal{D})$. However, we claim that element g_0 does not approximate f, i.e.

$$\arg\min_{\mu} \|f - \mu g_0\| = 0.$$

Indeed, for any $\mu > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f + \mu g_0\| &\geq F(f + \mu g_0) = 1 + \mu F(g_0) > \|f\|, \\
\|f - \mu g_0\| &\geq F'(f - \mu g_0) = 1 - \mu F'(g_0) > \|f\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Additionally, the choice of the elements (12) and (13) of the dictionary $\mathcal{D}$ provides

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(g_0) > 0, \\
&F(g_1) = 0, \\
&F(e'_j) = 0, \quad \text{for any } j \in \Lambda'.
\end{aligned}$$

Then consider the following realization of WCGA of f: for any $n \geq 1$ choose $F_{n-1} = F$, $\phi_n = g_0$, and $f_n = f$. Hence $\|f_n\| \not\to 0$ and WCGA does not converge. □

Next we demonstrate that assumptions of Theorem 1 are weaker than the ones of Theorem B. Assume that conditions (6)–(8) hold. Then condition (10) holds for any subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. The following lemma shows that conditions (6) and (8) imply conditions (9) and (11) with some subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Lemma 1. *Let* $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *and* $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *be any such nonnegative sequences that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad a_n = o(b_{n-1}).$$

Then there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ *such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n_k} = \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad a_{n_k} = o(b_{n_k}).$$

Proof. We claim that there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\frac{b_{n_k}}{b_{n_k-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n_k} = \infty. \tag{14}$$

Indeed, let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ denote the set of all such indices $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\frac{b_\lambda}{b_{\lambda-1}} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $\Lambda = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{N} \Lambda_k$, where $\{\Lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{N}$ are disjoint connected subsets of Λ (i.e. if $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Lambda_k$, then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu_1 < \lambda < \mu_2$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_k$) for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. For each $k \in [1; N]$ define $\lambda_k = \min\limits_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} \{\lambda \in \Lambda_k\}$ – the minimal element of the set Λ_k – and consider the sum $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} b_{\lambda_k}$.

If $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} b_{\lambda_k} = \infty$, take $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{\lambda_k - 1\}_{k=2}^{\infty}$. Note that in this case $N = \infty$ and the sequence $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=2}^{\infty}$ (and therefore $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$) is defined correctly. It follows from the definition of λ_k that $\lambda_k - 1 \notin \Lambda$, hence conditions (14) hold for the sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

If $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} b_{\lambda_k} < \infty$, then note that for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_k$ the definition of the set Λ implies $b_\lambda < 2^{-(\lambda-\lambda_k)} b_{\lambda_k}$. Hence

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} b_\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} b_\lambda < \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{|\Lambda_k|} 2^{-(j-1)} b_{\lambda_k} \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{\lambda_k} < \infty.$$

Then take $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \mathbb{N} \backslash \Lambda$ and note that for this sequence conditions (14) hold.

Now choose any subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying conditions (14). Then

$$\frac{a_{n_k}}{b_{n_k}} \leq 2\frac{a_{n_k}}{b_{n_k-1}} \to 0,$$

hence $a_{n_k} = o(b_{n_k})$, which proves the lemma. $\square$

We will use the following lemma (see Lemma 2.3 from [7]) to investigate convergence and the rate of approximation of the AWCGA.

Lemma C. *Let X be a uniformly smooth Banach space with the modulus of smoothness $\rho(u)$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be any dictionary. Take a number $\epsilon \geq 0$ and two elements f and f^ϵ from X such that $\|f - f^\epsilon\| \leq \epsilon$ and $f^\epsilon/A \in A_1(\mathcal{D})$ with some number $A = A(\epsilon) > 0$. Then for the AWCGA with a weakness sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, a perturbation sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and a bounded error sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$*

$$E_{n+1} \leq \|f_n\| \inf_{\lambda\geq 0} \left(1 + \delta_n - \frac{\lambda t_{n+1}}{A}\left(1 - \delta_n - \frac{\beta_n + \epsilon}{\|f_n\|}\right) + 2\rho\left(\frac{\lambda}{\|f_n\|}\right)\right)$$

for any $n \geq 0$, where

$$\beta_n = \inf_{\mu\geq 0} \frac{\delta_n + \eta_n + 2\rho\left(\mu(2+\eta_n)\|f\|\right)}{\mu}.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1. Note that the proof of sufficiency follows closely the proof of Theorem B given in [7]. One can acquire it by applying the estimates in Theorem B only for indices $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ instead of every index $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We present it here for completeness.

Proof of Theorem 1. First we prove the sufficiency of conditions (9)–(11). Assume that for some $f \in X$ the AWCGA of f does not converge to f, i.e. $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} E_n = \alpha$ for some $0 < \alpha \leq \|f\|$. Then for any $n \geq 0$

$$\alpha \leq E_n \leq \|f_n\|. \tag{15}$$

Take $\epsilon = \alpha/2$ and find such element $f^\epsilon \in X$ and number $A > 0$ that $\|f - f^\epsilon\| \leq \epsilon$ and $f^\epsilon/A \in A_1(\mathcal{D})$. Let $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsequence, for which assumptions of the theorem hold. Then by Lemma C

$$E_{n_k+1} \leq \|f_{n_k}\| \inf_{\lambda\geq 0} \left(1 + \delta_{n_k} - \frac{\lambda t_{n_k+1}}{A}\left(1 - \delta_{n_k} - \frac{\beta_{n_k} + \alpha/2}{\|f_{n_k}\|}\right) + 2\rho\left(\frac{\lambda}{\|f_{n_k}\|}\right)\right),$$

where

$$\beta_{n_k} = \inf_{\mu\geq 0} \frac{\delta_{n_k} + \eta_{n_k} + 2\rho\left(\mu(2+\eta_{n_k})\|f\|\right)}{\mu}.$$

We first estimate β_{n_k}. Using condition $\rho(u) \leq \gamma u^q$ we obtain

$$\beta_{n_k} \leq \inf_{\mu\geq 0} \left(2\gamma\left((2+\eta_0)\|f\|\right)^q \mu^{q-1} + \left(\delta_{n_k} + \eta_{n_k}\right)\mu^{-1}\right).$$

Consider the real valued function $\varphi(x) = ax^{q-1} + bx^{-1}$. Then

$$\inf_{x\geq 0} \varphi(x) = \varphi\left(\left(\frac{b}{a(q-1)}\right)^{1/q}\right) = p(q-1)^{1/q}a^{1/q}b^{1/p}$$

and therefore

$$\beta_{n_k} \leq p(2\gamma(q-1))^{1/q}(2+\eta_0)\left\|f\right\|(\delta_{n_k}+\eta_{n_k})^{1/p} = c\,(\delta_{n_k}+\eta_{n_k})^{1/p},$$

where $c = p(2\gamma(q-1))^{1/q}(2+\eta_0)\left\|f\right\|$. Then, applying estimates $\rho(u) \leq \gamma u^q$ and (15), we get

$$E_{n_k+1} \leq \|f_{n_k}\| \inf_{\lambda\geq 0}\left(1+\delta_{n_k} - \frac{\lambda t_{n_k+1}}{A}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{n_k}-\frac{c}{\alpha}(\delta_{n_k}+\eta_{n_k})^{1/p}\right)+2\gamma\frac{\lambda^q}{\alpha^q}\right).$$

Consider the real valued function $\psi(x) = ax^q - bx$. Then

$$\inf_{x\geq 0}\psi(x) = \psi\left(\left(\frac{b}{aq}\right)^{1/(q-1)}\right) = -(q-1)q^{-p}a^{-p/q}b^p.$$

Thus

$$E_{n_k+1} \leq \|f_{n_k}\|\left(1+\delta_{n_k} - B_k t_{n_k+1}^p\right),$$

where $B_k = (q-1)q^{-p}(2\gamma)^{-p/q}A^{-p}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{n_k}\right)\alpha - c\,(\delta_{n_k}+\eta_{n_k})^{1/p}\right)^p$. Hence the estimate $E_{n_{k+1}} \leq E_{n_k+1}$ and condition (3) provide

$$E_{n_{k+1}} \leq E_{n_k}(1+\eta_{n_k})\left(1+\delta_{n_k} - B_k t_{n_k+1}^p\right).$$

Note that $B_k > 0$ for sufficiently big k since conditions (10) and (11) imply $\delta_{n_k} \to 0$ and $\eta_{n_k} \to 0$. Assume without loss of generality that $B_k \geq B > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with some constant $B > 0$. Then

$$E_{n_{k+1}} \leq E_{n_k}(1+\eta_{n_k})\left(1+\delta_{n_k} - B t_{n_k+1}^p\right). \tag{16}$$

We show that $B < 1$. It is easy to see that the definition of the modulus of smoothness (4) implies $u - 1 \leq \rho(u) \leq \gamma u^q$, hence $\gamma \geq 2^{-q}$. Then, taking into account that

$$A \geq \|f^\epsilon\| \geq \|f\| - \frac{\alpha}{2} \geq \frac{\|f\|}{2},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B \leq B_k &= (q-1)q^{-p}(2\gamma)^{-p/q}A^{-p}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{n_k}\right)\alpha - c\,(\delta_{n_k}+\eta_{n_k})^{1/p}\right)^p \\ &\leq (q-1)q^{-p}(2\gamma)^{-p/q}A^{-p}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^p \\ &\leq (q-1)q^{-p}(2\gamma)^{-p/q} < 2\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $1+\delta_{n_k}-Bt^p_{n_k+1}>0$ and recursively applying estimate (16) provides

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n_{k+1}} &\leq \|f\|\prod_{j=1}^{k}(1+\eta_{n_j})\left(1+\delta_{n_j}-Bt^p_{n_j+1}\right)\\
&\leq \|f\|\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1+\delta_{n_j}+2\eta_{n_j}-Bt^p_{n_j+1}\right)<\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently big k by assumptions

$$
\delta_{n_k}=o(t^p_{n_k+1}),\quad \eta_{n_k}=o(t^p_{n_k+1}),\quad \text{and}\quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}t^p_{n_k+1}=\infty.
$$

Hence $E_{n_{k+1}}<\alpha$, which contradicts the assumption $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}E_n=\alpha$. Therefore $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}E_n=0$ and by (3)

$$
\|f_n\|\leq(1+\eta_n)E_n\leq(1+\eta_0)E_n\to 0,
$$

i.e. the AWCGA of f converges to f.

Now we prove the necessity of conditions (9)–(11). Assume that for any subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ at least one of the statements

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}t^p_{n_k+1}<\infty,\\
\delta_{n_k}\neq o(t^p_{n_k+1}),\\
\eta_{n_k}\neq o(t^p_{n_k+1}),
\end{gathered}
$$

holds. We construct an example of a Banach space, a dictionary, and an element, for which the AWCGA with any weakness, perturbation, and error sequences, satisfying the stated assumption, does not converge.

For a number $\alpha>0$ define sets $\Lambda_1=\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\delta_{n-1}\geq\alpha t^p_n \text{ or } \eta_{n-1}\geq\alpha t^p_n\}$ and $\Lambda_2=\mathbb{N}\setminus\Lambda_1$. We claim that there exists an $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{j\in\Lambda_2}t^p_j<\infty. \tag{17}
$$

Indeed, if $\sum\limits_{j\in\Lambda_2}t^p_j=\infty$ for any $\alpha>0$ then for every $k\geq 1$ choose $\alpha(k)=2^{-k}$, and find $\Gamma_k\subset\Lambda_2(k)$ such that $\min\{n:n\in\Gamma_k\}>\max\{n:n\in\Gamma_{k-1}\}$, $\max\{n:n\in\Gamma_k\}<\infty$, and $\sum\limits_{j\in\Gamma_k}t^p_j\geq 1$. Hence by considering the union $\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}\Gamma_k$, we receive the sequence for which conditions (9)–(11) hold, which contradicts the aforementioned assumption.

Take any $1<q\leq 2$ and let $X=\ell_q$, and let $\mathcal{D}=\{\pm e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a standard basis in ℓ_q. Fix an $\alpha>0$ such that claim (17) holds, and find

corresponding sets Λ_1 and Λ_2.
If $|\Lambda_1| < \infty$ then $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^p < \infty$. In this case it is known that for $f = e_0 + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j^{p/q} e_j$ the WCGA (and therefore the AWCGA) does not converge to f (see Proposition 2.1 from [6]).
Consider the case $|\Lambda_1| = \infty$. Without loss of generality assume that $1 \in \Lambda_2$. Choose a nonnegative sequence $\{a_j\}_{j\in\Lambda_1}$ such that $\sum\limits_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j^q = 1$ and $a_j \le \alpha^{1/q}$ for any $j \in \Lambda_1$, and take

$$f = \sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j e_j + \alpha^{1/q} \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2} t_j^{p/q} e_j.$$

We claim that there exists a realization of the AWCGA of f such that for any $n \ge 1$

$$f_n = \eta_n^{1/q} e_1 + \sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j e_j + \alpha^{1/q} \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(n)}} t_j^{p/q} e_j, \tag{18}$$

where $\Lambda_2^{(n)} = \Lambda_2 \setminus \Gamma_n$, and Γ_n is a set of indices of e_j chosen on the first n steps of the algorithm.

For $n = 1$ choose

$$F_0(x) = F_f(x) = \frac{\sum\limits_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j^{q/p} x_j + \alpha^{1/p} \sum\limits_{j\in\Lambda_2} t_j x_j}{\|f\|_q^{q/p}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_0(e_0) = 0,\\
&F_0(e_j) = a_j^{q/p} \|f\|_q^{-q/p} \le \alpha^{1/p} \|f\|_q^{-q/p} \text{ for any } j \in \Lambda_1,\\
&F_0(e_j) = t_j \alpha^{1/p} \|f\|_q^{-q/p} \text{ for any } j \in \Lambda_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the choice $\phi_1 = e_1$ is possible since $1 \in \Lambda_2$. Thus $\Gamma_1 = \{1\}$ and taking

$$f_1 = \eta_1^{1/q} e_1 + \sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j e_j + \alpha^{1/q} \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(1)}} t_j^{p/q} e_j$$

satisfies (3) since $E_1 = \left(1 + \alpha \sum\limits_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(1)}} t_j^p\right)^{1/q} \ge 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_1\|_q^q &= \eta_1 + \left(1 + \alpha \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(1)}} t_j^p\right)\\
&\le (1+\eta_1) E_1^q\\
&\le (1+\eta_1)^q E_1^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for $n = 1$ claim (18) holds.

For $n > 1$, provided $f_{n-1} = \eta_{n-1}^{1/q} e_1 + \sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j e_j + \alpha^{1/q} \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(n-1)}} t_j^{p/q} e_j$, choosing

$$F_{n-1}(x) = \frac{\delta_{n-1}^{1/p} x_0 + \eta_{n-1}^{1/p} x_1 + \sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j^{q/p} x_j + \alpha^{1/p} \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(n-1)}} t_j x_j}{(1+\delta_{n-1})^{1/p} \|f_{n-1}\|_q^{q/p}}$$

satisfies (1) since

$$|F_{n-1}(x)| \le \frac{\left(\delta_{n-1} + \eta_{n-1} + \sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j^q + \alpha \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(n-1)}} t_j^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j^q\right)^{1/q}}{(1+\delta_{n-1})^{1/p} \|f_{n-1}\|_q^{q/p}} \le \|x\|_q$$

and

$$F_{n-1}(f_{n-1}) = \frac{\eta_{n-1} + \sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j^q + \alpha \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(n-1)}} t_j^p}{(1+\delta_{n-1})^{1/p} \|f_{n-1}\|_q^{q/p}} = \frac{\|f_{n-1}\|_q}{(1+\delta_{n-1})^{1/p}} \ge (1-\delta_{n-1}) \|f_{n-1}\|_q ,$$

where the last inequality uses the estimate

$$(1+\delta_{n-1})^{1/p}(1-\delta_{n-1}) = \left(1-\delta_{n-1}^2\right)^{1/p} (1-\delta_{n-1})^{1/q} \le 1.$$

Hence such choice of a functional is possible. Let $A_n = \left((1+\delta_{n-1}) \|f_{n-1}\|_q^q\right)^{-1/p}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{n-1}(e_0) = \delta_{n-1}^{1/p} A_n,\\
&F_{n-1}(e_1) = \eta_{n-1}^{1/p} A_n,\\
&F_{n-1}(e_j) = a_j^{q/p} A_n \le \alpha^{1/p} A_n \text{ for any } j \in \Lambda_1,\\
&F_{n-1}(e_j) = t_j \alpha^{1/p} A_n \text{ for any } j \in \Lambda_2^{(n-1)},\\
&F_{n-1}(e_j) = 0 \text{ for any } j \in \Gamma_{n-1} \setminus \{1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $n \in \Lambda_2$ we choose $\phi_n = e_n$. Otherwise $n \in \Lambda_1$, and either

$$F_{n-1}(e_0) \ge t_n \alpha^{1/p} A_n \quad \text{and/or} \quad F_{n-1}(e_1) \ge t_n \alpha^{1/p} A_n.$$

Therefore it is possible to choose $\phi_n = e_0$ or $\phi_n = e_1$. In any case $\Gamma_n \cap \Lambda_1 = \varnothing$ and taking

$$f_n = \eta_n^{1/q} e_1 + \sum_{j\in\Lambda_1} a_j e_j + \alpha^{1/q} \sum_{j\in\Lambda_2^{(n)}} t_j^{p/q} e_j$$

satisfies (3) since $E_n = \left(1 + \alpha \sum_{j \in \Lambda_2^{(n)}} t_j^p\right)^{1/q} \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n\|_q^q &= \eta_1 + \left(1 + \alpha \sum_{j \in \Lambda_2^{(n)}} t_j^p\right) \\ &\leq (1 + \eta_n) E_n^q \\ &\leq (1 + \eta_n)^q E_n^q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence claim (18) holds for any $n > 1$. Thus $\|f_n\| \not\to 0$ and the AWCGA does not converge to f. ☐

The next corollary gives the particular rates for the weakness, perturbation, and error sequences, which are sufficient for convergence.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{D}$ be any dictionary. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, and $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be any such sequences that for some subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$*

$$t_{n_k} \asymp k^{-1/p}, \quad \delta_{n_k} \asymp k^{-r}, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{n_k} \asymp k^{-s},$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$ and $r, s > 1$. Then the AWCGA with the weakness sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, the perturbation sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, and the bounded error sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges for any $f \in X$.

We now justify the restriction imposed on an error sequence in Theorem 1. The following proposition demonstrates that if an error sequence is unbounded, then for any $1 < q \leq 2$ there exists a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$ and an element f in ℓ_q such that the AWCGA of f diverges.

Proposition 2. *For any $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \eta_n = \infty$ and any $1 < q \leq 2$ there exists a dictionary $\mathcal{D}$ in ℓ_q and an element $f \in \ell_q$ such that AWCGA of f with any weakness sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, any perturbation sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, and the error sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not converge to f.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\pm e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, where $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a standard basis in ℓ_q. Choose any weakness sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, a perturbation sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and find a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\eta_{n_k} \geq k$ for any $k \geq 1$. Take a positive nonincreasing sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_q$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^q = 1$ and

$$\left(\sum_{j=n_k+1}^\infty a_j^q\right)^{1/q} \geq (k+1)^{-1}$$

for any $k \geq 1$. Denote $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j e_j \in \ell_q$.

Consider the following realization of the AWCGA of f:

For $n \notin \{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ choose $\phi_n = e_n$ and $G_n = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} a_j e_j$.

For $n \in \{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ choose $\phi_n = e_n$ and $G_n = 0$.
Then for any $k \geq 1$ norm of the remainder $\|f_{n_k}\| = \|f\|$, hence $\|f_n\| \not\to 0$. □

The following lemma is used to prove Theorem 3.

Lemma 2. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any such nonnegative sequences that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \infty.$$

Then there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n_k} = \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad a_{n_k} = o(b_{n_k}).$$

Proof. Take $\Gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{N}$ – the set of all such indices $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ that $a_\lambda = 0$. If $\sum\limits_{\lambda \in \Gamma_0} b_\lambda = \infty$, then $|\Gamma_0| = \infty$, and $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \Gamma_0$ is a required subsequence.
Consider the case $\sum\limits_{\lambda \in \Gamma_0} b_\lambda < \infty$. Take $\Gamma_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ – the set of all such indices $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\frac{a_\lambda}{b_\lambda} > 1$ or $b_\lambda = 0$. Therefore $\sum\limits_{\lambda \in \Gamma_1} b_\lambda < \sum\limits_{\lambda \in \Gamma_1} a_\lambda \leq \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$.
Set $\Lambda_0 = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$. Then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} b_\lambda \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma_0} b_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma_1} b_\lambda < \infty.$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\Lambda_k \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus \Lambda_0$ the set of all such indices $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\frac{1}{k+1} < \frac{a_\lambda}{b_\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{k}. \tag{19}$$

Note that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} b_\lambda < (k+1) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} a_\lambda \leq (k+1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty,$$

which implies that infinitely many sets Λ_k are not empty and $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_k$. Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} b_\lambda^p = \infty. \tag{20}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ choose $\Omega_k \subset \Lambda_k$ such that

$$|\Omega_k| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_k} b_\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} b_\lambda$$

and set $\omega_k = \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} \{\lambda \in \Omega_k\} < \infty$.

We claim that subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k$ satisfies the requirements. Indeed, by the choice of Ω_k and from (20)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_k} b_\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} b_\lambda = \infty,$$

hence the first requirement is satisfied. We show that $a_{n_k} = o(b_{n_k})$. Choose any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{m+1} < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{m}.$$

Then for any $k > \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\omega_j\}$ by (19)

$$\frac{a_{n_k}}{b_{n_k}} \leq \frac{1}{m+1} < \epsilon,$$

hence the second requirement is satisfied. $\square$

3 Rate of convergence of the AWCGA

In conclusion, we discuss the rate of convergence of the AWCGA. It is clear that in order to get a nontrivial rate of approximation, an additional requirement has to be imposed on an element. Traditionally for this area, we restrict to the elements from the class $A_1(\mathcal{D})$ – the closure of the convex hull of $\mathcal{D}$. We start with the known result for the rate of convergence of the WCGA (see Theorem 2.2 from [6]).

Theorem D. *Let $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{D}$ be any dictionary. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a weakness sequence. Then for any $f \in A_1(\mathcal{D})$ the AWCGA satisfies the estimate*

$$\|f_n\| \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k^p\right)^{-1/p}, \tag{21}$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$ and $C = C(q, \gamma)$.

The next result states the rate of convergence of an adaptive AWCGA, where adaptive means that perturbation and error sequences are determined by the AWCGA applied to a given element $f \in A_1(\mathcal{D})$ (see Theorem 2.4 from [7]).

Theorem E. *Let $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{D}$ be any dictionary. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a weakness sequence. Then for any $f \in A_1(\mathcal{D})$ the AWCGA with the perturbation sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and the error sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which are* *given by*

$$\delta_n = t_{n+1}^p \|f_n\|^p \, 3^{-p} \left(64\,(8\gamma)^{p/q}\right)^{-1}, \quad n \geq 0; \tag{22}$$

$$\eta_n = t_{n+1}^p E_n^p 3^{-p} \left(64\,(8\gamma)^{p/q}\right)^{-1}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{23}$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$*, satisfies the estimate*

$$\|f_n\| \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k^p\right)^{-1/p},$$

where $C = C(q, \gamma)$*.*

The particular advantage of this result is that the rate of convergence of the AWCGA with such perturbation and error sequences is the same as the rate of convergence of the WCGA (21).
We prove the following theorem, which is essentially Theorem E but with conditions (22) and (23) imposed only on some subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. The proof we give here is basically the proof of Theorem 2.4 from [7] with some minor distinctions.

Theorem 4. *Let* $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$ *be a Banach space and* $\mathcal{D}$ *be any dictionary. Let* $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *be a weakness sequence. Then for any* $f \in A_1(\mathcal{D})$ *the AWCGA with a perturbation sequence* $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *and a bounded error sequence* $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *such that for some subsequence* $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

$$\delta_{n_k} = t_{n_k+1}^p \|f_{n_k}\|^p \, 3^{-p} \left(64\,(8\gamma)^{p/q}\right)^{-1}, \quad k \geq 1; \tag{24}$$

$$\eta_{n_k} = t_{n_k+1}^p E_{n_k}^p 3^{-p} \left(64\,(8\gamma)^{p/q}\right)^{-1}, \quad k \geq 1, \tag{25}$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$*, satisfies the estimate*

$$\|f_n\| \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{n_k}^p\right)^{-1/p},$$

where $C = C(q, \gamma, \eta_0) = 8(1+\eta_0)\gamma^{1/q}$ *and* $N = N(n) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid n_k \leq n\}$*.*

Note that once a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, for which conditions (24) and (25) hold, is found, only the choice of elements ϕ_{n_k} is essential for the rate of convergence, so arbitrary elements ϕ_j can be chosen on other steps.

Proof of Theorem 4. Take any $f \in A_1(\mathcal{D})$. Then Lemma C applied with the subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ provides with $\epsilon = 0$ and $A = 1$

$$E_{n_{k+1}} \leq \|f_{n_k}\| \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(1 + \delta_{n_k} - \lambda t_{n_k+1} \left(1 - \delta_{n_k} - \frac{\beta_{n_k}}{\|f_{n_k}\|}\right) + 2\rho\left(\frac{\lambda}{\|f_{n_k}\|}\right)\right),$$

where

$$\beta_{n_k} = \inf_{\mu \geq 0} \frac{\delta_{n_k} + \eta_{n_k} + 2\rho\left(\mu(2+\eta_{n_k})\|f\|\right)}{\mu}.$$

Note that since $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 2^{-q}$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{n_k} &\leq 3^{-p}\left(64(8\,2^{-q})^{p/q}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^p \frac{1}{8\,8^p} < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \delta_{n_k} &\leq (1+\eta_{n_k})^p 3^{-p}\left(64(8\,2^{-q})^{p/q}\right)^{-1} < \frac{1}{8\,8^p} < \frac{1}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using an estimate $\rho(u) \leq \gamma u^q$, and taking $\mu = \|f_{n_k}\|^{p/q} 3^{-p}\left(4(8\gamma)^{p/q}\right)^{-1}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{n_k} &\leq \left(\delta_{n_k} + \eta_{n_k}\right)\mu^{-1} + 2\gamma\mu^{q-1}(2+\eta_{n_k})^q\|f\|^q \\ &= \frac{1}{16}\|f_{n_k}\| + \left(\frac{2+\eta_n}{12}\right)^q \|f_{n_k}\| < \frac{3}{8}\|f_{n_k}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\rho(u) \leq \gamma u^q$ and $\delta_{n_k} < 1/16$,

$$E_{n_{k+1}} \leq \|f_{n_k}\| \inf_{\lambda \geq 0}\left(1 + \delta_{n_k} - \frac{9}{16}\lambda t_{n_k+1} + 2\gamma\lambda^q \|f_{n_k}\|^{-q}\right).$$

Take $\lambda = t_{n_k+1}^{p/q}\|f_{n_k}\|^p (8\gamma)^{-p/q}$. Then using (3) and conditions (24) we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n_{k+1}} &\leq \|f_{n_k}\|\left(1 + \delta_{n_k} - \frac{5}{16}\frac{t_{n_k+1}^p\|f_{n_k}\|^p}{(8\gamma)^{p/q}}\right) \leq \|f_{n_k}\|\left(1 - \frac{t_{n_k+1}^p\|f_{n_k}\|^p}{4(8\gamma)^{p/q}}\right) \\ &\leq E_{n_k}\left(1 + \frac{t_{n_k+1}^p E_{n_k}^p}{3^p\,64(8\gamma)^{p/q}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{t_{n_k+1}^p E_{n_k}^p}{4(8\gamma)^{p/q}}\right) < E_{n_k}\left(1 - \alpha t_{n_k+1}^p E_{n_k}^p\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \left(8(8\gamma)^{p/q}\right)^{-1}$. Then, since $x^p \leq x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$E_{n_{k+1}}^p \leq E_{n_k}^p\left(1 - \alpha t_{n_k+1}^p E_{n_k}^p\right). \tag{26}$$

Using the technique from Lemma 2.16 from [8], we will show that for any $m \geq 0$

$$E_{n_m} \leq \alpha^{-1/p}\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m t_{n_k}^p\right)^{-1/p}. \tag{27}$$

We use induction by m to prove (27). First, note that $\alpha < 1$ since $\gamma \geq 2^{-q}$. Then for $m = 0$

$$E_0 = \|f\| \leq 1 < \alpha^{-1/p},$$

hence the estimate holds. Assume that it holds for m. Then inequality (26) provides

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n_{m+1}}^{-p} &\geq E_{n_m}^{-p}\left(1 - \alpha t_{n_m+1}^p E_{n_m}^p\right)^{-1} \geq E_{n_m}^{-p}\left(1 + \alpha t_{n_m+1}^p E_{n_m}^p\right) \\ &= E_{n_m}^{-p} + \alpha t_{n_m+1}^p \geq \alpha\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} t_{n_k}^p\right), \end{aligned}$$

since by the assumption estimate (27) holds for m. Thus the induction holds and estimate (27) is correct for any $m \geq 0$. Then by (3)

$$\|f_n\| \leq (1+\eta_n)E_n \leq (1+\eta_n)E_{n_N} \leq C\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{N} t_{n_k}^p\right)^{-1/p},$$

where $C = (1+\eta_0)\alpha^{-1/p} = 8(1+\eta_0)\gamma^{1/q}$. $\square$

The following corollary shows that the AWCGA will converge with the same rate as the WCGA as long as adequately precise computations are made sufficiently often.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{P}_q$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{D}$ be any dictionary. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any such sequences that for some subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $M = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} |n_{k+1} - n_k| < \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} &t_{n_k+1} \geq t > 0, \\ &\delta_{n_k} \leq t^p \|f_{n_k}\|^p 3^{-p}\left(64\,(8\gamma)^{p/q}\right)^{-1}, \\ &\eta_{n_k} \leq t^p E_{n_k}^p 3^{-p}\left(64\,(8\gamma)^{p/q}\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p = q/(q-1)$. Then for any $f \in A_1(\mathcal{D})$ the AWCGA with the weakness sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, the perturbation sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and the bounded error sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the estimate

$$\|f_n\| \leq C n^{-1+1/q},$$

where $C = C(q,\gamma,\eta_0,M)$.

We also state two corollaries that give the rate of convergence of the adaptive AWCGA for the standard dictionary in ℓ_p spaces.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $X = \ell_q$, $1 < q \leq 2$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{\pm e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a standard basis in ℓ_q. Let $a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_1$ be a positive nonincreasing sequence with $\|a\|_1 \leq 1$. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any such sequences that for some subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $M = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} |n_{k+1} - n_k| < \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} &t_{n_k+1} \geq t > 0, \\ &\delta_{n_k} \leq \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^p \left(\sum_{j=n_k+1}^{\infty} a_j^q\right)^{p/q}, \\ &\eta_{n_k} \leq \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^p \left(\sum_{j=n_k+1}^{\infty} a_j^q\right)^{p/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for the element $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ *the AWCGA with the weakness sequence* $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$*, the perturbation sequence* $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$*, and the bounded error sequence* $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *satisfies the estimate*

$$\|f_n\| \le C n^{-1+1/q},$$

where $C = C(q, t, \eta_0, M)$*.*

Corollary 3.3. *Let* $X = \ell_q$, $2 \le q < \infty$ *and* $\mathcal{D} = \{\pm e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$*, where* $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *is a standard basis in* ℓ_q*. Let* $a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_1$ *be a positive nonincreasing sequence with* $\|a\|_1 \le 1$*. Let* $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$*,* $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$*, and* $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *be any such sequences that for some subsequence* $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ *with* $M = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |n_{k+1} - n_k| < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n_k+1} &\ge t > 0, \\ \delta_{n_k} &\le \frac{t^2}{4608} \left(\sum_{j=n_k+1}^{\infty} a_j^q \right)^{2/q}, \\ \eta_{n_k} &\le \frac{t^2}{4608} \left(\sum_{k=n_k+1}^{\infty} a_j^q \right)^{2/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for the element $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ *the AWCGA with the weakness sequence* $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$*, the perturbation sequence* $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$*, and the bounded error sequence* $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *satisfies the estimate*

$$\|f_n\| \le C n^{-1/2},$$

where $C = C(q, t, \eta_0, M)$*.*

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to V. N. Temlyakov for his guidance during the writing of this paper and his support throughout the research process.

References

[1] B. Beauzamy. *Introduction to Banach spaces and their geometry.* North-Holland Publishing Company, 1982.

[2] S. J. Dilworth, D. Kutzarova, and V. N. Temlyakov. Convergence of some greedy algorithms in Banach spaces. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 8(5): 489–506, 2002.

[3] M. J. Donahue, C. Darken, L. Gurvits, and E. Sontag. Rates of convex approximation in non-Hilbert spaces. *Constructive Approximation*, 13(2): 187–220, 1997.

[4] V. V. Dubinin. *Greedy algorithms and applications.* Doctoral Dissertation. University of South Carolina, 1997.

[5] P. Enflo. Banach spaces which can be given an equivalent uniformly convex norm. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 13(3): 281–288, 1972.

[6] V. N. Temlyakov. Greedy algorithms in Banach spaces. *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, 14(3): 277–292, 2001.

[7] V. N. Temlyakov. Greedy-type approximation in Banach spaces and applications. *Constructive Approximation*, 21(2): 257–292, 2005.

[8] V. N. Temlyakov. *Greedy approximation.* Cambridge University Press, 2011.